

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/887,304 | Applicant(s) ROBISON ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Sanjiv D. Shah | Art Unit 2624 | |

All Participants:

(1) Sanjiv D. Shah.

(2) Ashley OTT.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 April 2006

Time: 12:30 PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
As cited in prior office action

Claims discussed:
1-3, 5-6, 8-17, 19-24


Prior art documents discussed:
As cited in prior office action

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner suggested amendment to claim 15 to overcome possible 101 rejection. Applicant's attorney authorized examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 SANJIV SHAH
 PRIMARY EXAMINER
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)